

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box, 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/827,034	04/19/2004	Raymon W. Lush		2379
31083 75	590 03/31/2005		EXAM	INER
THOMTE, MAZOUR & NIEBERGALL, L.L.C.			SWIATEK, ROBERT P	
2120 S. 72ND S OMAHA, NE	STREET, SUITE 1111		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OWANA, NE	00124		3643	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s) LUSH, RAYMON W.	
∀ Office		10/827,034		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	· /_ /	Robert P. Swiatek	3643	
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app d for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
Th	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL 1E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Itenations of time may be evalible under the provisions of 37 CR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It be period for reply sepcified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Inlustration by the communication of	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will epply and will expire SIX (6) MONI c. cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) deys will be considered timely. THS from the meiling date of this communication. ANDONEO 155 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	s		•	
11	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	pril 2004.		
		s action is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under			
	·			
Oispo	osition of Claims			
. 4)	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Appli	cation Papers			
9)	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.		
10)	N The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2004 is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ object	ted to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)	☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priori	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
121	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
_,	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
			pplication No	
	Certified copies of the priority document		received in this National Stage	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	ority documents have been		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
	Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
Attach	Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
_	Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bureau	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not	received.	
1) 🔲 I 2) 🔲 I	Copies of the certified copies of the pric application from the International Burea.* See the attached detailed Office action for a lisement(s).	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). t of the certified copies not 4) ☐ Interview S	_	

Application/Control Number: 10/827,034

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hsiao (US 6,408,789

B1). The Hsiao patent discloses a repelling device including a bird feeder 3, a hanger 10 having a lower end 12 attached to an upper end of the bird feeder, a rotatable disk 1 positioned above the feeder, a motor 41 for rotating the disk 1, and a load cell 43, 44, 46, 441 that functions to sense the weight of an animal on the disk and activate the motor 41 to rotate the disk.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 5 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,604,487 B2. Although the

Application/Control Number: 10/827,034

Art Unit: 3643

conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 16 of the above patent recites a bird feeder, a hanger system in the form of an elongated rod, a rotatable disk, a motor for rotating the disk, and a load cell—appearing in claim 16 as "a switch means" and "biasing means"—for sensing the weight of an animal on the disk and activating the motor.

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 2, line 1, "said dish" lacks a prior antecedent basis; in each of claims 3, 4, "said first and second support means" lacks antecedent basis.

The drawings are objected to because reference numeral "60" does not appear (note Figure 2 includes a lead line unassociated with any numeral and probably intended for numeral 60). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

Application/Control Number: 10/827,034

Art Unit: 3643

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the straps of claim 4 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 2-4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

RPS: @703/308-2700 (current); @571/272-6894 (future)

16 March 2005

Robert P. Swintele ROBERT P. SWIATEK PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 383 3443